

ASSARKAR & CO


Advocate, Corporate Lawyer & Mediator

Mobile 9820549733

Email- manishsh@assarkarco.in,

manishasarkar9547@gmail.com

Website:-<https://assarkarco.in>



LEGAL NEWS FROM 15 NOV- 29 NOV.

1. Vehicle Manufacturer Cannot Be Held Liable For Deficiency In Service By Dealer/Authorized Service Centre In Repair: Supreme Court

https://www.livelaw.in/pdf_upload/honda-cars-india-limited-vs-sudesh-berry-ll-2021-sc-649-1-404090.pdf

The Supreme Court observed that a vehicle manufacturer cannot be held liable for any deficiency in service by the dealer or the authorized Centre in rendering assistance for repairs of the vehicle.

2. Right To Be Represented By Counsel Or Agent Of Choice In Disciplinary Proceedings Is Not Absolute: Supreme Court

https://www.livelaw.in/pdf_upload/the-chairman-state-bank-of-india-and-another-versus-mj-james-404159.pdf

The right to be represented by a counsel or agent of one's choice in a disciplinary proceedings is not an absolute right, the Supreme Court observed.

The court said that such right to be legally represented depends on how the rules govern such representation. However, if the charge is of severe and complex nature, then the request to be represented through a counsel or agent should be considered

3. Sale Deed Executed Without Payment Of Price Is Void: Has No Legal Effect: Supreme Court

<https://www.livelaw.in/top-stories/supreme-court-sale-deed-without-payment-price-void-186062>

The Supreme Court observed that the payment of price is an essential part of a sale.

If a sale deed in respect of an immovable property is executed without payment of price and if it does not provide for the payment of price at a future date, it is not a sale at all in the eyes of law. It is of no legal effect. Therefore, such a sale will be void. It will not affect the transfer of the immovable property.

4. IBC: NCLT Cannot Adjudicate Contractual Dispute If Termination Of Contract Is Based On Grounds Unrelated To Corporate Debtor's Insolvency: Supreme Court

https://www.livelaw.in/pdf_upload/tata-consultancy-services-limited-vs-vishal-ghisulal-jain-resolution-professional-sk-wheels-private-limited-ll-2021-sc-675-1-404604.pdf

ASSARKAR & CO


Advocate, Corporate Lawyer & Mediator

Mobile 9820549733

Email- manish@assarkarco.in,

manishasarkar9547@gmail.com

Website:-<https://assarkarco.in>



The Supreme Court observed that the residuary jurisdiction of the NCLT cannot be invoked to adjudicate contractual dispute if the termination of a contract is based on grounds unrelated to the insolvency of the Corporate Debtor.

5. Trademark/ Copyright Infringement: No Jurisdiction Based On Location Of Branch Office Where No Part Of Cause Of Action Has Arisen- Madras High Court

https://www.livewlaw.in/pdf_upload/p-sundaram-v-asia-match-company-private-limited-represented-by-its-director-404533.pdf

The place where the infringement has happened, the Court of that place will have jurisdiction over the issues pertaining to the trademark /copyright infringement.

Legal updates courtesy- Live law.

Disclaimer

The contents of this email are for informational purposes only and do not constitute legal advice. The contents are intended, but not guaranteed, to be correct, complete, or up to date. Manish Assarkar disclaims all liability to any person for any loss or damage caused by errors or omissions, whether arising from negligence, accident or any other cause.

Do let me know in case you like to discontinue receiving this Bulletin.

© Manish Assarkar. All rights reserved